

# OPIM (Process Management) – Overview of Situational Models

News vendor.....	1
Make / Assemble to Order.....	2
(Quick Response w/ Reactive Capacity).....	2
Reactive Capacity.....	2
Centralized Inventory.....	2
Order up to Model.....	3
Risk Pooling.....	4
Revenue Management.....	5
Supply Chain Coordination.....	5
Reducing Demand Uncertainty.....	5

## Course Theme: Better Matching of Supply and Demand Yields Competitive Advantage

Model	Key Formulas	Points of Note
<b>News vendor</b>  Cases: ▪ O'Neil ▪ Lands End	$\text{Expected Lost Sales} = \sigma * L(Z)$ $\text{Expected Sales} = \mu - \text{Expected Lost Sales}$ $\text{Expected Left Over Inventory} = Q - \text{Expected Sales}$ $\text{Expected } \Pi = (C_U * \text{Expected Sales}) - (C_O * \text{Expected Leftover Inventory})$ $\text{Expected GM } \% = 1 - (\text{Cost} / \text{Expected Revenue})$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Expected Revenue</b> = (P*Expected Sales) + (V * L/O inventory)</li> <li>▪ <b>Cost</b> = C * Q</li> </ul> $\text{Expected Fill Rate} = \text{Expected Sales} / \text{Expected Demand} (\mu)$ $\text{In-Stock Prob} = F(Q) \text{ (~ distribution function)}$ $\text{Out of Stock Probability} = 1-F(Q) \text{ [i.e., 1- in stock probability]}$	<p><b>use when – only one production / procurement opp.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- short sales season w/ uncertain demand</li> <li>- single order opportunity before season starts</li> <li>- left over inventory is salvaged below cost</li> <li>- <b>unmet demand is lost</b></li> </ul> <p><b>key steps for profit maximizing order Q –</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) gather financial inputs (data)</li> <li>ii) generate demand model / forecast (choose distribution function)             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- source – history, opinions, etc</li> </ul> </li> <li>iii) choose objective (~ maximize <math>\Pi</math>)</li> <li>iv) choose order Q to achieve objective</li> </ol> <p><b>Process (if NOT normal distribution)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 1) find &amp; rank A/F ratios in <u>ascending</u> order</li> <li>- 2) calculate empirical distribution [<math>F(A/F) = \% = \text{rank} / \text{total \# AF ratios}</math>]</li> <li>- 3) match critical ratio to A/F distribution %</li> <li>- 4) Q = Forecast * matched A/F ratio</li> </ul> <p><b>Process (if normal distribution)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 1) Expected A/F ratio = avg of all A/F ratios</li> <li>- 2) <math>\sigma</math> of A/F ratio = <math>\sigma</math> of all ratios</li> <li>- 3) Expected Actual Demand = <math>E(A/F) * \text{Forecast}</math></li> <li>- 4) Expected <math>\sigma = \sigma</math> of A/F ration * Forecast</li> <li>- 5) find Z based on critical ratio</li> <li>- 6) Q = <math>E(\text{Actual Demand}) + [E(\sigma) * Z]</math></li> </ul>

Model	Key Formulas	Points of Note
<p><b>Make / Assemble to Order</b></p> <p><b>(Quick Response w/ Reactive Capacity)</b></p> <p>Cases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Dell</li> <li>▪ Nat'l Bicycle</li> </ul>	<p><u>Mismatch Cost</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ = <math>(C_o * \text{Expected L/O inventory}) + (C_u * \text{Expected Lost Sales})</math></li> <li>▪ = <math>\text{Maximum } \Pi - \text{Expected } \Pi</math></li> <li>▪ <b>caused by</b> demand uncertainty at time of order</li> </ul> <p><u>Maximum <math>\Pi</math></u> = <math>(P-C) * \mu</math></p> <p><u>Mismatch Tax Rate</u> = <math>[f(Z) / F(Z)] * (\sigma / \mu)</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ is higher as critical ratio is smaller</li> <li>○ is higher if COV is higher (b/c demand less predictable)</li> </ul>	<p><b>use when</b> – justified by high mismatch costs <b>AND...</b></p> <p><b>Assemble to Order (Dell)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- customers know what they want</li> <li>- customers are willing to wait</li> <li>- labor is small component of total cost (~ assembly is fast / easy ~ modular design)</li> <li>- inventory is expensive to hold (high carrying cost, short product life cycle, component P deflation)</li> </ul> <p><b>Mass Customization (Nat'l Bicycle)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- customer willing to pay more (fit is important)</li> <li>- large variety and high demand / supply mismatch cost</li> <li>- low incremental labor content for customization</li> <li>- e.g., bikes, PCs, apparel, windows</li> </ul> <p><b>Key to success</b> = operational efficiency</p>
<p><b>Reactive Capacity</b></p> <p>Case:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Sport Obermeyer</li> </ul>	<p><u><math>C_o</math></u> = same as Newsvendor</p> <p><u><math>C_u</math></u> = premium paid for not ordering in earlier round</p> <p><u>Initial Order</u> = Newsvendor's Optimal Order Q</p> <p><u>Maximum <math>\Pi</math></u> – see Newsvendor</p> <p><u>Expected <math>\Pi</math></u> = <math>\text{Maximum } \Pi - (C_o * \text{Expected L/O inventory}) - (C_u * \text{Expected Lost Sales})</math></p> <p><u>Expected Replenishment Q</u> = Newsvendor's Expected Lost Sales</p>	<p><b>use when</b> – have ability to make 2<sup>nd</sup> replenishment</p> <p><b>Assumptions</b> - don't stock out before second replenishment + after observe initial sales → can predict Demand perfectly (may be close to true depending on historical results) + order once constraint for each product (ensures firm can get above - and can ignore - the minimum order Q in replenishment)</p> <p><b>1<sup>st</sup> Order</b> = items w/ lowest mismatch quantity ratio (~ mismatch cost / Q)</p>
<p><b>Centralized Inventory</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Amazon</li> </ul>		<p><b>use when</b> – following factor(s) are present . . .</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- customers willing to wait for product</li> <li>- idle capacity is inexpensive (so as to minimize customer wait time)</li> <li>- location pooling benefits can be captured</li> </ul>

Model	Key Formulas	Points of Note
<p><b>Order up to Model</b></p> <p><u>Case:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Medtronic</li> </ul>	<p><u>Order Quantity per period</u> = <math>S - \text{Inventory position}</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>= last period's demand</li> </ul> <p><u>Expected <math>\lambda</math></u> = <math>(\xi + 1) * \mu</math> per period</p> <p><u><math>\sigma</math> of <math>\lambda</math></u> = <math>(\xi + 1)^{0.5} * \sigma</math> per period</p> <p><u>In-stock Probability</u> = <b>Prob [<math>\lambda \leq S</math>]</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>= 1- Stock-out Probability</li> <li><b>Probability</b> = Poisson (<math>S, \lambda, 1</math>) → see table</li> <li><b>Expected demand over <math>(\xi+1)</math> periods</b> = <math>\lambda = (\xi+1) * \mu</math> demand per period</li> </ul> <p><u>Stock-Out Probability</u> = <b>1-Prob [<math>\lambda \leq S</math>] = Prob [<math>\lambda &gt; S</math>]</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>works better if service level target is high (~ stock outs are rare)</li> </ul> <p><u>Expected Backorder</u> <math>_{EOP}</math> - if <math>\lambda &gt; S \rightarrow = \lambda - S</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>= <math>[\lambda - S] + \sum_{S-1} [\text{prob}(\lambda \leq (S-1))]</math></li> <li>= <math>\sigma * L(z) \sim</math> expected NV lost sales</li> <li>for <b>Poisson Distribution</b> → look up in Poisson table for Expected <math>\lambda</math></li> </ul> <p><u>Expected Fill Rate</u> = <b>1 - [E (B/O) / E (D for one Period)]</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ignore <math>S = 0 \rightarrow</math> F/R of 100% is unreasonable</li> <li><b>theory</b> ~ # of 1 period customers = <math>\mu</math> in one period → # customers not served in period = <math>E(B/O) \rightarrow [E(B/O) / E(\text{Demand})] =</math> fraction of customers not served → <math>1 - [E(B/O) / E(\text{Demand})] =</math> fraction of customers that are served</li> <li><b>remember</b> – lead time influences number of customers not served (numerator) / does not influence demand in one period (denominator)</li> </ul> <p><u>Expected Inventory</u> <math>_{EOP} = S - \lambda + E(B/O)</math> [cf pg. 31]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>if <math>S &gt; \lambda \rightarrow = S - \lambda</math></li> <li><b>@ BoP</b> = <math>E(D \text{ in one period}) + E(\text{inventory})_{EOP}</math></li> <li><b>@ Random pt</b> = <math>[E(\text{Demand in one period}) / 2] + E(\text{inventory})_{EOP}</math></li> </ul> <p><u>Expected on-order inventory</u> = <b>E (D for one period) * <math>\xi</math></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>= <b>pipeline inventory</b></li> <li>cf Little's Law ~ <math>\text{Inventory} = \text{FR} * \text{FT}</math></li> <li><b>excludes</b> inventory sitting in central warehouse</li> </ul> <p><u>Cost minimizing order Q</u> – satisfies <math>\rightarrow</math> <b>Prob (<math>\lambda \leq S</math>) = <math>C_u / (C_o + C_u) = b / (h+b)</math></b></p> <p><u>Optimal In-Stock prob</u> = critical ratio</p>	<p><b>use when</b> – need to minimize inventory costs while maintaining very high service target</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>long sales season / life cycle products</li> <li>random demand BUT multiple replenishment opportunities</li> <li>left over inventory is carried to next period</li> <li><b>unmet demand is backlogged (NOT lost)</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Assumes</b> – demand is random + same demand distribution applies in all periods + replenishment is at beginning of period (before any demand) + order always received in <math>\xi</math> periods + no limit to Q + no obsolescence in inventory + all demand is filled eventually + all unmet Demand is backordered + no seasonality in Demand [expected demand (<math>\mu</math>) is same for all periods]</p> <p><b>Key Terms</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>period</b> ~ an order interval (assume all are same)</li> <li><b><math>\lambda</math></b> = Demand over <math>(\xi+1)</math> periods</li> <li><b>lead time = <math>\xi</math></b> ~ number of periods required to receive orders (~ matches frequency in which orders can be made/orders received)</li> <li><b>backorders</b> = negative on-hand inventory <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>~ when demand arises and no units in current inventory</li> <li>caused by – excess demand over short time (temp supply / demand imbalance)</li> <li>causes a <b>stock out</b> (see below)</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>inventory position</b> = on-order inv. + on-hand inv.</li> <li><b>inventory on-order</b> ~ ordered in prior periods but not received (may be 0, negative only if returning inv)</li> <li><b>inventory on-hand</b> = <math>S - [\mu (\xi+1)]</math> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>= order up to level - expected avg demand over (lead time + 1)</li> <li>= units in inventory - backorders</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>order up to level = S = base stock level</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>~ max # units willing to have (on-hand + on order) = BoP inventory</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>Poisson distribution</b> – defined by mean (not <math>\sigma</math>); BUT <math>\sigma = (\mu)^{0.5}</math></li> <li><b>stock out</b> → arises if both (a) out of stock <u>and</u> (b) demand arrives (→ if at least 1 <b>backorder</b>)</li> <li><b>out of stock</b> – when have no inventory on-hand</li> <li><b>in stock</b> – if inventory available to meet all demand</li> </ul>

Model	Key Formulas	Points of Note
<p><b>Risk Pooling</b></p> <p>Cases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Medtronic</li> <li>• O'neill</li> <li>• Amazon</li> </ul>	<p><u>Days of Demand in inventory</u> = <b>Expected Inventory (in units) / pooled territory's expected daily demand</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <i>should go down (while in-stock prob remains same) as more territories are <u>location pooled</u></i></li> </ul> <p><b>Assuming</b> – product Demand is independent <u>and</u> pooled products have same distribution (same <math>\mu</math> and <math>\sigma</math>) →</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <math>\mu_{\text{pooled products}} = \# \text{ pooled products} * \mu_{\text{independent}}</math></li> <li>▪ <math>\sigma_{\text{universal}} = (\# \text{ pooled products})^{1/2} * \sigma_{\text{independent}}</math></li> <li>▪ <u>Q* universal</u> = <math>\mu_{\text{universal}} + \sigma_{\text{universal}} * (z \text{ statistic})</math></li> <li>▪ <u>CoV of Pooled Demand</u> = <math>[\sigma / \mu] * [0.5 * (1 + \text{correlation})]^{1/2}</math></li> <li>▪ <u>All other formulas</u> - the same as Newsvendor.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Use when</b> – generally use risk pooling when . . .</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- can redesign the supply chain to reduce or hedge demand uncertainty</li> <li>- total demand uncertainty is lower than of individual products</li> </ul> <p><b>Key Concepts</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Location pooling</b> – reduction in the number of stocking points (~ pull back from reps) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ no impact on pipeline inventory</li> <li>○ reduces D uncertainty b/c reduces CoV</li> <li>○ most benefit captured w/ few territories</li> <li>○ BUT moves inv away from customers + reps lose efficiency + storage / spoilage</li> <li>○ Alts ~ virtual pooling + drop shipping</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ <b>Product pooling</b> – universal product design <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b>use when</b> – consumers need similar functionality + not expensive to combine functionality in one product + using fewer parts lowers cost by increasing parts' EoS + brand / P segmentation not needed</li> <li>○ main bene if CoV of pooled product &lt; CoV of individual products</li> <li>○ lower CoV = only if D for products is independent</li> <li>○ negative correlation in D lowers CoV<sub>pooled</sub></li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ <b>Lead time pooling</b> ~ consists of either <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <u>centralized inventory w/ DC</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ more effective if ~ Ds are negatively correlated + supplier £ ~ long &amp; DC £ ~ short</li> <li>▪ maxes ability to get quantity discounts</li> <li>▪ better access to EoS in transport</li> <li>▪ BUT increases total £ &amp; adds pipeline inv. b/w DC &amp; stores</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ <u>delayed differentiation</u> – stocking generics + differentiate @ sale time <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ same <math>\pi</math> as product pooling</li> <li>▪ <b>use when</b> – customers demand variety + less uncertainty re total demand than product demand + variety c/b made late in process + variety c/b added quickly &amp; cheaply relative to generic</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ <b>Capacity pooling</b> – flexible manufacturing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b>use when</b> – capacity ~ E(Demand) + capacity is neither very hi or low + flexibility is cheap relative to capacity</li> <li>○ increases capacity utilization &amp; E(sales)</li> <li>○ 20 links ~ as good as total flexibility</li> <li>○ flexibility maximized thru long chains</li> <li>○ BUT if flexibility = expensive → add cap</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Model	Key Formulas	Points of Note
<p><b>Revenue Management</b></p> <p>Case: • Hyatt</p>	<p><u>Overage Penalty</u> = <math>C_O * L/O \text{ Inventory}</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <math>C_O = r_L</math></li> </ul> <p><u>Underage Penalty</u> = <math>C_U * \text{lost sales}</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <math>C_U = r_H - r_L</math></li> </ul> <p><u>Optimal Protection Level</u> → <math>F(Q^*) = \text{critical ratio}</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <math>\text{critical ratio} = C_U / (C_U + C_O) = r_h - r_l / r_h</math></li> </ul> <p><u>Optimal Low Fare Limit</u> = <math>\text{Total} - Q^*</math></p> <p><u>Expected Revenue</u> = <math>(r_h * \text{Expected Sales}) + (r_l * Q^*)</math></p> <p><u>All other formulas</u> = the same as Newsvendor.</p>	<p><b>Use when</b> – when supply is fixed and you want to change demand to meet supply</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- when can segment high / low pay customers</li> <li>- when can limit customers buying at low P</li> <li>- rigid and perishable resource or capacity</li> <li>- decision is made before D uncertainty resolved</li> <li>- same unit of resource c/b used for different segments</li> <li>- acceptable to discriminate b/w customers</li> </ul> <p><b>Goal</b> – max rev by controlling # sold at low P</p> <p><b>Key Terms</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>booking limit</b> – number willing to sell at low P</li> <li>- <b>protection limit</b> – number reserved for high P</li> </ul> <p><b>Reality</b> – no shows + cancellations + no penalty for full fare customers</p> <p><b>Solution</b> – sell more seats / rooms than capacity</p>
<p><b>Supply Chain Coordination</b></p> <p>Case: ▪ Video Vault</p>	<p><u>All formulas</u> = the same as Newsvendor.</p>	<p><b>Use when</b> – when profit can be increased with different supply chain management</p> <p><b>Key Pts</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ optimal Q → <math>MR = MC</math></li> <li>▪ goal = grow pie for all</li> <li>▪ issues – monitoring costs + supplier <math>\pi</math> becomes variable &amp; delayed + diversion risk costs <math>\pi</math> + reduces retailer incentive to increase sales</li> <li>▪ <b>double marginalization</b> – issue is how to max total <math>\pi</math> of two independent parties in a chain when they have different motivations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <u>incentive misalignment</u> - makes supply chain inefficient</li> <li>○ <u>issue</u> – retailer sets Q</li> <li>○ <u>solution</u> – = coordination → supplier incentivizes retailer to order the chain's optimal Q (Q discount + rev / <math>\pi</math> sharing + buy back K + supplier managed inventory)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<p><b>Reducing Demand Uncertainty</b></p> <p>Case ▪ HP</p>		<p><b>Use when</b> – need to reduce inventory while maintaining service level</p> <p><b>Issue</b> – Inventory mgmt as sales increase</p> <p><b>Solutions</b> –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ reduce service commitment</li> <li>▪ reduce lead times / cycle times (~ Kanban, local, air ship)</li> <li>▪ reduce uncertainty (~ improve forecasts) → delayed differentiation allows pooling bene</li> </ul>